

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DECLARATION OF KEITH HENSON  
IN SUPPORT OF A MOTION TO DISQUALIFY  
THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
FOR BIAS TOWARD SCIENTOLOGY

PEOPLE V. HENSON HRM014371

1. Keith Henson, declare:

The information set forth in this declaration is known to me personally. If called upon to testify in court as to the truthfulness of the facts set forth in this declaration, I would do so.

1. This declaration is being done in the place of one from Graham Berry who is too tied up in legal work created by Scientology to help at the moment, though he is willing to testify in this matter.


2. The first time Mr. Berry was in DDA Schwartz's office he saw (and reported to me afterwards) a letter in the open file in this case dated Aug. 10, 2000 from Scientology attorney Gerald Feffer of Williams and Connally, Washington, D.C. Deputy D.A. Kevin Ruddy. Mr. Berry had the impression that the file had been left open to this place on purpose, and of course recognized the name as one of Scientology's most expensive lawyers. DDA Robert Schwartz was asked for a copy of the letter in discovery but would not permit a copy to be made. However, he did permit Mr. Berry to read the letter, which Mr. Berry did in my presence in the hall outside the courtroom in Room

ENCLOSURE "B"

1 3. Mr. Schwarz also permitted Mr. Berry to read another  
2 letter, which Mr. Berry did in my presence which would have been  
3 responsive to a discovery request for "letters from Scientology  
4 lawyers to the DA's office." The second letter, dated July 20  
5 was from Scientology attorney Alan C. Oberstein addressed to  
6 Heret DDA (I don't remember the name). I was not permitted to  
7 read more than what I could see upside down. I am not sure of  
8 the date this reading occurred, but it most likely was at the  
9 first pretrial hearing

10  
11 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the  
12 State of California that the above is true and correct.

13  
14 Executed on March 12, 2001 at Palo Alto, California

15  
16   
17 Keith Henson

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DECLARATION OF IDA J. CAMBURN

I am over the age of 18 years.

I am a 78 year old widow . I have lived in Hemet where I made residence following the death of my husband in 1990. I am a long time activist for Freedom of Speech.

The statements herein are my belief that the policy of L Ron Hubbard on Fair Game is in effect at this time.

In that I have chosen to be friends of those persons who have exercised their constitutional rights to picket , I have had many instances of fair game used on me. I had experienced Fair Game Policy shortly after the picketing of Golden Era. Muriel Dufrene, PR person for Golden Era visited many members of the community where I live. She delivered Dead Agent Packets to many of the elderly people here. Some refused them. She visited the manager of this complex and voiced her opinion that I was housing a dangerous criminal and something should be done about it.

Private Eyes were used to harass the neighbors by parking in front of their homes daily and through the night. I was followed constantly. In one instance a van driven by a woman PI came up on the right side of my car as I was to make a left turn and quickly made a left out of the right lane to follow me. Extremely frightened for fear one would cause accident. I was followed to the medicine shop where calls had been made in my name ordering out dated prescriptions.

Conversations made at my dining room table were repeated word for word to one of the men who picketed at Golden Era -all within an hour.

I believe the constant calls to the manager here where I live was an attempt to cause me problems

As recent as March 1, 2001 a van was seen backing out of my driveway at three A.M. My sensor light had been damaged so as to leave the driveway in darkness.

And the most recent act of Fair Game was a letter from my Scientology son. This last letter was posted to Parishioners Page which is a Scientology public web page. . I have attached a copy (Note I have a "disconnect" letter mailed to me in 1977-it is dated June 20, 1977 signed by my son.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above statements are true.

  
Ida J. Camburn

EMMETT C

Date: 20 February 2001  
To: Webmaster Parishioners.org  
From: Ron Watson  
Re: Request for publication

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to you with a request to publish my story on your web site.

It is the story of my mother, Ida Mae Camburn.

My mother has been actively engaged in attacks on my chosen religion, Scientology, for over 25 years. Throughout this time, she has justified her attacks by claiming that Scientology caused a breach in our relationship, deliberately forcing me to disavow our relationship. In actual fact, the truth is much the reverse. While I had some indications that this has been going on, I have deliberately kept quiet over the years, not wishing to make things worse and hoping she would stop after a while. After all this time, I have finally decided to make a public statement and reveal the truth about this situation.

I became interested in Scientology in 1972. Unbeknownst to me, sometime in 1973-1975, my mother started to "investigate" Scientology. Apparently, someone put her in touch with people in the anti-religious movement. These people in turn gave her considerable false information about Scientology. She never spoke to me directly about her opposition to my religion or how she came to hold that opinion. She has never asked me if any of the things she believes are correct. In other words she presents herself as being "concerned" about me, but she never spoke to me about her concern even to this date, and I am almost 59-years old. The truth of the matter is that she never cared about me but she insidiously attacks my religion.

Sometime in 1973 she began imparting this false information to my then wife in such a way that my wife never told me where she was getting the information, but just objected strongly to my having anything to do with Scientology. This led subsequently to our divorce. My mother would have everyone believe that Scientology was responsible for the divorce when, in truth, it was her meddling and behind my back communication with my ex-wife that was largely responsible for our being unable to sort things out.

In 1976 or 1977 she began telling everyone in my family that I had been "brainwashed" and that I would never be able to work again. I am a computer programmer and began the most successful and lucrative part of my career in 1977. Over the last 23 years I have owned and operated three successful businesses and made enough money to semi-retire well before age 60.

She also told everyone in the family, including my children, that I had been "brainwashed" in such a way that I could subsequently "brainwash" them, just by talking to them, and neither they nor I would know it was taking place. Needless to say, that made communicating with my children more than a little difficult.

Last summer I initiated an email conversation with mother in hopes of patching things up. Following is a summary of that conversation.

I wrote the first letter when I found out she was giving room and board to Keith Henson who, from what I could discover, appeared to be a pretty unsavory character, regardless of any attacks on my religion. To this she responded with a brief note essentially telling me to mind my own business.

On 11 July 2000, I wrote to my mother expressing my concern for her sharing quarters with Keith Henson. She responded to this on 13 July 2000, telling me to mind my own business and with references to hate web sites and accusing me of various non-existent "failures" in the past.

On 15 July 2000, I wrote again, answering her charges and expressing concern for her safety in the company of Keith Henson. She responded to this, more or less, on 16 July 2000 by sending me a copy of a very hateful posting written by Stacy Brooks.

On 24 July 2000, I wrote to my mother suggesting that the previous response didn't really count because it was not anything she said, just a copy of someone else's hateful ideas. I got a brief message back on 28 July 2000 about how she was too busy to respond.

On 28 July 2000, I wrote to her a fairly long letter, addressing some of the points raised in Brooks' letter. On 30 July 2000, in



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DECLARATION OF KEITH HENSON  
IN SUPPORT OF A MOTION TO DISQUALIFY  
THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE  
FOR BIAS TOWARD SCIENTOLOGY

PEOPLE v. HENSON HEM014371

I, Keith Henson, declare:

The information set forth in this declaration is known to me personally. If called upon to testify in court as to the truthfulness of the facts set forth in this declaration, I would do so.

1. During one of the dates when I was in talking to Mr. Schwarz in the court's lobby I mentioned my attempt with the Hemet police to report an episode where a car was driven straight at me by Scientology investigator Frank Perry. Mr. Schwarz told me that the DA's office had no influence over the police. Graham Perry was a witness to this conversation as was I believe my wife and local


2. In a Bankruptcy 2004 examination on Oct. 20, 2004, Scientology lawyer Samuel Rosen asked me a number of questions in an attempt to authenticate a number of my postings on the group alt.religion.scientology. The questions had nothing to do with my bankruptcy, and after a while I objected and refused to answer any more questions of my counsel Stanley Zlotoff. A portion of the unrecorded transcript of that deposition was delivered to my lawyer in this case slightly over two days.

ENTERED "D" 11/11/04

1 later. Mr. Harr wrote a declaration about this event at the  
2 time for use and abuse of process motion in my bankruptcy case  
3

4 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the  
5 State of California that the above is true and correct.  
6

7 Executed on March 12, 2001 at Palo Alto, California  
8

9 

10 Keith Henson  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DECLARATION OF JAMES J. HARR

I, JAMES J. HARR, declare:

1. The facts set forth in this declaration are known to me personally, unless otherwise indicated.

2. I am one of two attorneys of record for Keith Henson in the case of People v. Henson, Riverside County, California Superior Court Case No. HEM014371. I am informed by Keith Henson, and on that basis believe, that this declaration has been requested by his bankruptcy attorney.


3. On the afternoon of Friday, October 27, 2000, I received a telephone call from Deputy District Attorney Robert Schwarz ("DDA Schwarz") who is the prosecutor in the aforementioned case no. HEM014371, informing me that he had received a portion of a deposition transcript from "Mr. Rosen". Pursuant to my conversation with DDA Schwarz, he stated that he would bring a copy of the transcript to my office on October 27, 2000. At some point between approximately 4:30 p.m. and 4:45 p.m. on October 27, 2000, a copy of what appears to be a portion of a "certified copy" of a deposition of Keith Henson was delivered to my office through the mail slot. I had requested this means of delivery if no one was present at the time the transcript was delivered. The transcript appears to be of a deposition of Keith Henson that took place on October 25, 2000 in United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 98-51326-ASW-13, In re H. Keith Henson, Debtor. I received the following pages of the transcript: "Volume V" 576 through 578, 593 through 614; 708. I also received copies of what are marked exhibits 55 through 79. I was not present at any such deposition of Keith Henson on October 25, 2000, nor was I attorney of record in any such bankruptcy proceeding, so I have no personal knowledge of events at any such deposition.

4. DDA Schwarz indicated that the transcript could amount to an authentication of certain documents that DDA Schwarz was trying to get admitted as evidence in the aforementioned case no. HEM014371. I told him I would review the information.

5. On the evening of October 27, 2000, I informed Keith Henson that I had received the aforementioned transcript. On October 30, 2000, Keith Henson asked to see the portion of the transcript which I had received for DDA Schwarz, and I gave it to him.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury the laws of the State of California.

Dated: October 31, 2000

  
JAMES J. HARR