

This is attachment B which goes with the letter to the Grand Jury.

Background

As you can see from the return address, I am not a resident of Riverside County. I have, however, spent quite a bit of time there, since the headquarters of Scientology is located at Gilman Hot Springs.

Six years ago the Scientologists aroused a number of free speech advocates like me, who communicate through the Internet. They did this by an extremely unfriendly act which can be compared to a gang of thugs riding into a small town in the west a hundred years ago and burning down the newspaper office. (They removed a newsgroup from the Internet by unethical means.)

The free speech advocates and critics of Scientology responded by exposing the ugly reality of Scientology through creating Web sites, which were filled with legal filings, news stories and hundreds of personal accounts of mental and physical abuse, broken families, child abuse, financial ruin and deaths. We posted to newsgroups, made postal mailings, and participated in thousands of pickets. Over the past 6 years I have personally been on a few hundred pickets, including perhaps 30-40 at Gilman Hot Springs. One search engine turns up 377,000 web sites that mention Scientology, and six of the first ten Web pages from that search engine are critical of Scientology:

www2.thecia.net/users/mnewman/scientology/home.html

12/17/01

wpxx02.toxi.uni-wuerzburg.de/~krasel/CoS/
www.xenu.net/
www.factnet.org/Scientology/dianetics.html
www.islandnet.com/~martinh/webring.htm
www.demon.co.uk/castle/scientology.html

(The other four are by Scientology.)

Of the Web sites exposing Scientology, www.factnet.org, www.lermanet.com, www.lisatrust.net/ and www.lisamcpherson.org are good places to start.

When you search for "scientology Riverside death" you get 305 Web pages, mostly about the two women who died under unusual or suspicious circumstances last year at Gilman Hot Springs. Data on the most recent death at Gilman Hot Springs can be found at <http://parishioner.org/abuse.html>. There is also a long list of deaths believed to be caused by or hastened by Scientology at <http://www.b-org.demon.nl/scn/deaths/index.html>.

I advise every member of the Grand Jury and the Board of Supervisors who has not already done so to spend a little time on the Web to see what kind of cult headquarters masquerading as a movie studio you have in your county.

You will notice that many of these sites quote directly from Scientology documents--"fair game" for example is not a term the critics of Scientology invented. I recommend searching such terms as "fair game," "TR-L," and for a shocking advocacy of extermination, try "Hubbard dispose."

Some of the patterns that become apparent when reviewing the available web information are: Scientology's lack of concern for human life, Scientology's willingness to infiltrate governments, both foreign and domestic, and Scientology's creeping and programmed influence on the local communities where they reside; including those same communities' police departments, District Attorney offices, and the courts.

One such Web page, www.factnet.org/Scientology/jesse_tape_1a.html, discusses Scientology's tampering with judges.

It is a *religious precept* in Scientology that all those who oppose their policies are automatically criminals by definition because they criticize. Scientologists will go, and have gone, to elaborate lengths to frame or entrap critics and will fabricate charges and pressure district attorneys to file charges. (The DA in Los Angeles refused to press such trumped-up charges against Graham Berry in connection with the Hurtado case.)

There are at least a dozen published examples, the most spectacular one of Paulette Cooper, the author of *The Scandal of Scientology*. Scientology agents stole letterhead paper with Ms. Cooper's fingerprints on it, sent themselves a bomb threat, and turned the bomb threat letter over to the FBI. Ms Cooper was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury on the basis of this faked evidence. Eventually she was exonerated when an FBI raid on Scientology in the late 70s turned up extensive files on this and similar planned operations against Ms Cooper. A recent affidavit attesting to these facts from Paulette Cooper is attached (Exhibit G) Other and more recent examples are listed in my attached letter to the FBI (Exhibit C)

There is a very recent example where an attempt to frame Graham Berry failed and was exposed in the now dismissed Hurtado v Berry case. A Los Angeles lawyer for Scientology, Donald Wager, was being deposed after a street person (Apodaca) had testified to being paid by Wager while Apodaca was in jail for false testimony to be given later against Mr. Berry. Judge Lachs (retired) had been appointed to oversee depositions and here he overruled attorney-client objections under the crime-fraud exception and forced Wager to answer implicating Scientology in house lawyer Kendrick L. Moxon in the following testimony.

16 MR. ABELSON: Well, I think it's privileged --
17 I don't know who it was given by. But if it was given

12/17/01

18 by his client or given by his client's other lawyer, I
19 think it would be privileged.

20 MS. MATTHAI: I -- among other problems with --
21 first of all, I don't think it's privileged in the first
22 place.

23 Secondly, I think that given the testimony in
24 this action by Mr. Apodaca, that the money was deposited
25 in his account in return for false statements to be made

55

1 against Mr. Berry. I think that puts it into the crime
2 fraud exception to the attorney-client privilege.

3 JUDGE LACHS: I think that's a good
4 possibility, Mr. Abelson.

[snip]

57

1 (A recess was taken.)

2 MR. ABELSON: Back on the record.

3 Let me make a little statement. I'm going
4 withdraw any objection to his answer or answers in this
5 area.

6 If you want to ask him questions about why --
7 you want to go more into why he paid him the money and
8 how that came about, that's fine --

9 MS. MATTHAI: Okay.

10 MR. ABELSON: -- because I want to clear it up.

11 MS. MATTHAI: Okay.

12 Q First of all, do you have a recollection of how
13 much money it was?

14 A I do not, other than the figures we have
15 mentioned.

16 Q All right. What was the source of the money?

17 A I think it was my money.

18 Q You think it was your money?

19 A Right.

20 Q Did you receive reimbursement of that money

21 from anyone else?

22 A I believe I did.

23 Q Who did you receive reimbursement from?

24 A I believe Mr. Moxon.

The entire 140-page deposition is provided on diskette as Exhibit H. I believe that Mr. Moxon also conspired with others to deny me notice of the arraignment and have me arrested at a deposition for failure to appear at arraignment. Mr. Abelson is one of the Scientology lawyers who has been seen advising Deputy DA Robert Schwarz in Hemet. He was in the Hemet courtroom August 9, 2000.

It is either a tribute to their patience or a failure of the State Bar that Mr. Moxon has not yet been disbarred. (In the late 70s Moxon was named as an unindicted co-conspirator in a case where 11 high ranking Scientologists including founder Hubbard's wife went to jail, essentially for treason against the United States.)

Not much has changed in the last 40 years. One of the best articles on Scientology to this day is the Time Magazine article of May 6, 1991. (Exhibit I.)

Riverside is not unique in having problems of too much cult influence over the authorities. See Exhibit J, a 20 minute tape reporting on the excessive influence Scientology has acquired over the police force in Clearwater

This is Exhibit 5

From: Gwen Summerfield (Gwen_member@newsguy.com)
Subject: The Cowardly Critic Runs North
Newsgroups: alt.religion.scientology
View: Complete Thread (19 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-05-17 12:50:20 PST

It really is a delight to watch all our sad little critics wear out their keyboards in defense of Keith Henson -- who can only be known henceforth as The Cowardly Critic.

Randolph calls this yellow-belly "a great man." Klemesrud claims this gutless wonder should be given a Presidential pardon (sorry, Tom, Clinton *left* office and the cash register is now closed) and dear little Tory rose to new heights of literacy assaulting Keith's detractors with such pearls as "you slime-balls" and "you could give a rats ass" and the particularly devastating reference to our "total bullwinkleness." (Well done, Tory. I for one am in awe -- if only of your newfound ability to locate the tilde on your keyboard.)

Here's the facts, kids: the coward cut and ran. Oh, he was mighty brave when it came to harassing Scientologists on the street, or making implied threats against the lives of Church staff, all in the name of "free speech" - but when

12/17/01

it came time to actually put his own butt on the line for his supposed beliefs this "great man" choked. To go to jail for your beliefs requires integrity. It requires courage. Martin Luther King had it. So did little Rosa Parks. But Keith Henson? He's sitting on Hagglund's couch right now guzzling Molson

Golden
and stuffing his face with Cheesie Puffs.

Yeah, that's "a great man" alright. And for those of you who are comforting yourselves with the delusion that Keith running was somehow morally or politically motivated, think again. Keith ran for one reason only: He's a child molester. And he knows what happens to child molesters in prison.

Yeah, Keith's having a good time in Toronto tonight. He's laughing his ass off

-- at you. Because you have been dense enough to defend him and provide him with a "platform" under which he can at least argue his 30 second case for "political asylum"; because Bob was stupid enough to participate in a conspiracy to aid and abet that could very well land *him* in jail; and because Keith is naïve enough to think that the Canadian government will welcome a convicted criminal under a U.S. national arrest warrant with open arms. Wake up, folks.

The RCMP stopped doffing their Stetsons for little old ladies a long time ago.

They're going to kick his butt all the way back to Riverside.

As for the rest of you sad suckers - well, sorry folks but your "great man" is in fact a con man. Or, in Tory-speak, he's a slime-ball who couldn't give a rats ass and is busy shining his empty platitudes up your total bullwinkleness. ~

G.S.

(BTW, Gregg, thanks for supplying the RCMP with Keith's address and

12/1/01

phone
number. I'm sure they'll be in touch.)